







OMIS/OCM-G and Other Approaches to Monitoring Grid Applications

B.Balis^{1,2}, M.Bubak^{1,2}, W.Funika^{1,2}, M.Radecki², T.Szepieniec², R.Wismueller³

¹ICS AGH Krakow, ²ACK CYFRONET AGH, ³LRR TUM Muenchen

{balis,bubak,funika}@uci.aqh.edu.pl, {m.radecki,t.szepieniec}@cyf-kr.edu.pl, wismuell@in.tum.de

OCM-G – monitoring interactive Grid applications



- Sends monitoring requests in OMIS
- •On-line mode
- Perf. analyzer, debugger, visualizer, etc.
- Persistent OCM-G part
- Manages monitoring on site level
- Collects monitoring data
- One per node-and-user
- Spawned when needed
- Performs monitoring operations locally
- Library linked to the application
- Local handling of events, buffering
- User application linked against instrumented communication library

Virtual Monitoring
Systems allow to use
monitoring infrastructure as private for
each user, while
components are
in fact shared.

Monitoring is expressed in immediate or event-driven requests. **Flexible** low-level services enable user-defined metrics.

Interconnected SMs form **scalable** distributed, decentralized monitoring infrastructure.

Buffering and distributed evaluation promote efficiency, so do counters and integrating timers.

Dynamically enabled instrumentation limits the overhead.

Preserved style of application startup provides **transparency** for submission mechanisms.

RSA-based encrypted connections and user authentication prevent unauthorized access to monitored applications and data.

Other approaches - differences to OMIS/OCM-G

GRM / DataGrid

- Focus on batch processing
- Based on traces
- Overhead too high for interactive apps (R-GMA)

Autopilot / GRADS

 Automatic performance steering instead of feedback to the user

Mercury / GRIDLAB

- Based on traces
- Fixed metrics

